

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (currently amended) In a system having a base station transmitter for transmitting data blocks to one or more mobile stations over a radio link, a method for determining a transmit power level at which to transmit a current block, comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a quality measurement from a mobile station indicating an average radio link quality over a given measurement interval for a previous group of blocks, wherein not all of the blocks of the previous group of blocks were necessarily transmitted at the same transmit power level;

(b) determining a transmit power attenuation level for each current block of a subsequent group of blocks as a function of a minimum of a first attenuation factor and a second attenuation factor, based in part on the received quality measurement over the given measurement interval; and

(c) for each current block of the subsequent group, subtracting the transmit power attenuation level from a given transmit power level used for transmitting one ~~of~~or more of the blocks of the previous group to determine the transmit power level for that current block.

2. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein the system is a general packet radio service (GPRS) system.

3. (previously presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the system has Mode A and Mode B power control modes, and the given transmit power level is a Mode A maximum transmit power level.

4. (original) The method of claim 3, wherein the Mode A maximum transmit power level is a broadcast common control channel transmit power level minus a P0 power level assigned to the mobile station during establishment of a downlink temporary block flow (TBF).

5. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein:
the current block is to be transmitted on timeslot j ; and
the quality measurement indicates the average radio link quality over the previous group of blocks also transmitted on timeslot j .

6. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising transmitting the current block at the transmit power level.

7. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein step (a) further includes, during transmission of a last block of the previous group of blocks, polling the mobile station for the quality measurement by setting a poll bit in the last block.

8. (previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein:
a current block of the subsequent group is to be transmitted on timeslot j at time n ;
the quality measurement indicates the average radio link quality over the previous group of blocks also transmitted on timeslot j ; and

step (b) further includes, for each current block of the subsequent group:

(1) calculating the first attenuation factor, the calculated first attenuation factor represented as a radio link attenuation level indicating the downlink attenuation level the mobile station can tolerate while still achieving an acceptable bit error rate;

(2) calculating the second attenuation factor, the second attenuation factor represented as an uplink control flag attenuation level indicating the estimated additional downlink attenuation that can be applied such that adequate uplink state flag (USF) performance is achieved; and

(3) determining the transmit power attenuation level by taking the minimum of the radio link attenuation level and the uplink control flag.

9. (previously presented) The method of claim 8, wherein:

step (b)(2) includes setting the uplink control flag attenuation level to a maximum attenuation level, if there are no active uplink temporary block flows (TBFs) on timeslot j .

10. (previously presented) The method of claim 9, wherein the radio link attenuation level is determined in accordance with an optimal radio link attenuation level and an effective attenuation level.

11. (previously presented) The method of claim 10, wherein:

the effective attenuation level is determined based on a fraction of blocks sent at a given attenuation level to the mobile station over the given measurement interval;

the optimal radio link attenuation level is estimated based on a target bit error rate for the mobile station, a mean BER experienced by the mobile station and the effective attenuation level; and

the radio link attenuation level is determined based on the effective attenuation level and a confidence factor representing the confidence is the estimated optimal radio link attenuation level.

12. (previously presented) The method of claim 10, further comprising:

 caching, at the end of a downlink TBF for the mobile station, the radio link attenuation level and the time that the radio link attenuation level was last updated;

 at the beginning of the next TBF for the mobile station, retrieving said cached information and decreasing the cached radio link attenuation level to account for elapsed time; and

 setting an initial radio link attenuation level for said next TBF in accordance with said cached radio link attenuation level.

13. (previously presented) The method of claim 8, wherein step (b)(2) includes incrementing the uplink control flag attenuation level if, in a specified previous number of blocks, there have been no new uplink TBFs and no USF flag errors and no changes in the uplink control flag attenuation level..

14. (canceled)

15. (previously presented) A method for determining a transmit power level for each block of a group of blocks to be transmitted to a mobile station, comprising:

 calculating, based on measurements of a previous group of blocks reported by the mobile station over a given measurement interval, a first attenuation factor indicating a downlink attenuation level the mobile station can tolerate while still achieving an acceptable bit error rate;

 calculating a second attenuation factor indicating an estimated additional downlink attenuation to be applied to the first attenuation factor; and

 determining the transmit power attenuation level by taking the minimum of the first and second attenuation factors.

16. (previously presented) The method of claim 15, wherein
the first attenuation factor is a radio link attenuation level in the downlink the mobile station
can tolerate while still achieving the acceptable bit error rate; and
the second attenuation factor is an uplink control flag attenuation level indicating the
estimated additional downlink attenuation to be applied to achieve adequate uplink state flag (USF)
performance.

17. (previously presented) The method of claim 16, wherein
each block of the group is to be transmitted on a timeslot j at a time n , and
calculating the second attenuation factor includes setting the uplink control flag attenuation
level to a maximum attenuation level, if there are no active uplink temporary block flows (TBFs) on
timeslot j .

18. (previously presented) The method of claim 16, wherein
calculating the first attenuation factor further includes determining the radio link attenuation
level based on an effective attenuation level and an optimal radio link attenuation level,
the effective attenuation level being determined based on a fraction of blocks sent at a given
attenuation level to the mobile station over the given measurement interval,
the optimal radio link attenuation level being estimated based on a target bit error rate for
the mobile station, a mean BER experienced by the mobile station and the effective attenuation
level.

19. (previously presented) The method of claim 18, wherein the radio link attenuation level is determined based on the effective attenuation level and a confidence factor representing confidence in the estimated optimal radio link attenuation level.

20. (previously presented) A method by which a base station determines a transmit power level for transmitting each block of a group of blocks in the downlink, comprising:

receiving, from a mobile station, a measurement report for a previous group of blocks over a given measurement interval;

calculating, for each current block of a subsequent group of blocks to be transmitted, compensations to be made to transmit power level for each current block as a function of a minimum of two attenuation levels; and

transmitting each block based on the minimum of the two attenuation levels.

21. (previously presented) The method of claim 20, wherein,
determining a first attenuation level of the two attenuation levels, the first attenuation level representing a maximum attenuation level required to meet adequate uplink state flag (USF) performance; and

determining a second attenuation level of the two attenuation levels, the second attenuation level representing a maximum attenuation level used so that the mobile station receiving data sees suitable error rate performance.

22. (previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein determining the second attenuation level includes determining the second attenuation level based on a quality measurement reported by the mobile station.

23. (previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein determining the second attenuation level includes determining the second attenuation level based on a desired link quality for the base station for downlink transmission.

24. (previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein determining the second attenuation level includes estimating an optimal second attenuation level based on different power levels used to transmit a particular block of a previous group of blocks over the measurement interval.

25. (previously presented) The method of claim 24, wherein said estimating includes using a compensation factor that compensates for different power levels used to transmit a particular block over the measurement interval.

26. (previously presented) The method of claim 24, wherein determining the second attenuation level includes determining the second attenuation level based on a factor which quantifies a confidence in the optimal second attenuation level estimate, the factor based on one of the different transmit power levels used for transmitting the previous group of blocks over the given measurement interval, and whether the estimated optimal second attenuation level indicates that transmit power should be increased or decreased.